OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-0880 CB337

<u>City of Cincinnati</u>

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

_801_Plum Street

APPLICANT NAME

STREET

CITY /7ID

CITT/ZIF	Cincinnati, 0010 45202		
PROJECT NAME PROJECT TYPE TOTAL COST	Westwood Avenue Rehabilitation Street Rehabilitation \$243,000	90 SEP 14	OFFICE COUNTY I
DISTRICT NUMBER COUNTY	Z Hamilton	P3:-8	OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER
PROJECT LOCATION	ZIP CODE 45214		
DISTRI To be comp RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION pleted by the District Committee ONLY OF FUNDING: \$ 170,100.00	,	±
	NG SOURCE (Check Only One):		•
State Issue 2 District AllocationX Grant Loan Loan Assistance	State Issue 2 Small Governme State Issue 2 Emergency Fund Local Transportation Improvem	S	i
OPWC PROJECT NUMBER:	FOR OPWC USE ONLY OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT:	\$	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

STREET

CITY/ZIP

PHONE

FAX

1.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Gerald Newfarmer City Manager 801 Plum Street Room 152 City Hall Cincinnati, 45202 (513) 352 '- 3241 ()
1.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Frank Dawson Director of Finance 801 Plum Street Room 250, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 (513) 352 3732
1.3	PROJECT MGR TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Robert Cordes Principal Highway Design Engineer 801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 (513`) 352 - 3409 () -
1.4	PROJECT CONTACT TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Doug Perry Senior Engineer 801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 (513) 352 - 3407 () -
1.5	DISTRICT LIAISON	William Brayshaw Chief Deputy Engineer

Hamilton County Engineer's Office 223 West Galbraith Road

45215

761 - 7400 761 - 9127

9127

Cincinnati

513

513

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be <u>consolidated</u> for completion of this section.

- 2.1 PROJECT NAME: Westwood Avenue Rehabilitation
- 2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Sections A through D):
 A. SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Westwood Avenue from Harrison Avenue to Queen City Avenue (see attached map)

B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, removal of existing asphalt surface, base and joint repairs, inlet and connection pipe repairs, casting adjustments and resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete.

C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

Roadway is 4 lanes, 40 feet in width and 3980 feet in length.

D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

ADT = 19,000 USERS - 22,800

No change in service capacity.

Will use standard rehabilitation practices to upgrade the roadway to an acceptable condition.

2.3 REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs: 1. Preliminary Engineering 2. Final Design 3. Construction Supervision	\$ \$ \$
b)	Acquisition Expenses 1. Land 2. Right-of-Way	\$
c)	Construction Costs	\$ 243,000
d)	Equipment Costs	\$
e)	Other Direct Expenses.	Š
f)	Contingencies	\$
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$243,000

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

>	*	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	·
b)	Local Public Revenues	\$ <u>72,900</u>	_ 30
c)	Local Private Revenues	\$	
d)	Other Public Revenues		
	1. ODOT	\$	
	2. FMHA	\$	
	3. OEPA	\$	
	4. OWDA	\$	
	5. CDBG.	\$	
	6. Other	\$	
e)	OPWC Funds	_	
	1. Grant	\$ 170,100	70
	2. Loan	\$	
_	3. Loan Assistance	\$	
T)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 243,000	100

If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of <u>all</u> local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information <u>must be attached to this project application</u>:

The date funds are available;

2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:			
Cost - Cost Item -	Total Cost of the Prepaid It Non-construction costs, ind	cludina preliminary	engineering, final
Prepaid -	Cost items (non-construction paid prior to receipt of fu	s (lana or rignt-ot-w n costs directiv relat	ay). ed to the project)
Resource Category - Verification -	OPWC. Source of funds (see section invoice(s) and copies of accompanied by Project Market Market Project Project Market Project Project Market Project Market Project	warrant(s) used to	for prepaid costs, n (see section 1.4).
IMPORTANT: Verification	of all prepaid items shall be	e attached to this p	oroject application.
COST ITEM	RESOURCE	CATEGORY	COST
1)			\$
2)			\$
3)			\$
TOTAL OF P	REPAID ITEMS \$	<u> </u>	
3.5 REPAIR/REP	PLACEMENT or NEW/EXPA	NSION	
This section need only b	pe completed if the Project I	s to be funded by \$	SI2 funds:
OTAL PORTION OF PRO- State Issue 2 Fund (Not to Exce	JECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT s for Repair/Replacement eed 90%)	\$ 243,000 \$ 170,000	<u>100_</u> % %
OTAL PORTION OF PROJ State Issue 2 Fund (Not to Exce	s for New/Expansion	\$\$	% %
1.0 PROJECT SCI	HEDULE		
	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE	
4.1 ENGR. DESI 4.2 BID PROCES 4.3 CONSTRUCT	SS <u>5/1/91</u>	5 / 1 / 91 7 / 1 / 91 7 / 1 / 92	

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c) will be <u>paid in fuli</u> toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Michael Bierman, Acting City Manager

Certifying	Representative Type Name and Title)
- My	tion (1) 1/90
Signature/	Date Signed
Applicant shall application:	check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this
	A five-year Capital improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a iwo-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.
	A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
<u>V_</u>	A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.
YES N/A	A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district).
YES N/A	Copies of all Involces and warrants for those Items Identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application.

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certified That:
As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been dut selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.
DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRMAN DISTRICT #2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE
centrying Representative (Type Name and Title)
And the state of the

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1988

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	<u>Ευ</u>	NDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	7,750,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	1,850,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	1,426,000
Eggleston Avenue Improvement	Widening & Channelizing	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	125,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	500,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	375,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Impract Attenuators	Installation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	100,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	310,000

SEPTEMBER 14, 1990

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1989

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUN	DING AMOUNT
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund (from Issue 1 Funds)	\$	315,000
Monastery Street	Hillside Stabilization	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	300,000
Guerley Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	50,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$;	1,710,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	200,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	190,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	500,000
Belmont Avenue	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	300,000
Brighton Connection	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	400,000
Calhoun Street	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	100,000
Clifton Avenue	Realignment	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	150,000
Elberon Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	60,000

Hamilton Avenue	TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE	E OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Maryland Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 700,000
Rapid Transit Tubes Under Central Parkway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 120,000
Waits Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 50,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Warsaw/Waldvogel Ramp	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 130,000
Groesbeck Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
U.S. 50/Sixth Street Expressway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1990

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 5,200,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 110,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City and LaFeuille	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 60,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 400,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 290,000
Lincoln, Alms and M.L. King	Intersection Improvemtnt	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 310,000
Cinti-Newport Bridge Approach	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 550,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 1,300,000

Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	TWO	YEAR MAINTENANCE OF Rehabilitation	LOCAL EFFORT REPORT Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 80,000
Sixth St. Expressway Millcreek to I-75		Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 300,000
Waldvogel Viaduct		Rehabilitation	Street Improvement	\$ 500,000

City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe Director Thomas E. Young City Engineer

September 14, 1990

Subject: Westwood Avenue Rehabilitation,

Harrison to Queen City

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.

(seal)

T. E. Young, P.E. City Engineer City of Cincinnati

1991 STREET REHABILITATION, STATE ISSUE #2 Westwood Avenue

REF.	ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	EST. UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED COST
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		\$3,605.00
2	Special	1,100 s.y.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$27.00	\$29,700.00
3	Special	100 c.y.		\$80.00	\$8,000.00
4	Special	100 1.f.		\$10.00	\$1,000.00
5	202	400 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	\$25.00	\$10,000.00
6	202	18,500 s.y.		\$1.50	\$27,750.00
7	301	50 c.y.	-	\$85.00	\$4,250.00
8	304	20 c.y.	Aggregate Base	\$25.00	\$500.00
9	403	550 c.y.		\$62.00	\$34,100.00
10	404	550 c.y.	_	\$62.00	\$34,100.00
11	603	50 l.f.	12" Conduit, Type "H"	\$30.00	\$1,500.00
12	604	41 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$7,175.00
13	604	22 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$3,850.00
14	604	4 ea.	SGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$920.00
15	604	3 ea.	SGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$780.00
16	604	11 ea.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$2,530.00
17	604	11 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$2,860.00
18	608	500 s.f.	Handicap Ramp	\$4.00	\$2,000.00
19	608	160 s.f.	Concrete Walk	\$4.00	\$640.00
20	609	3,500 l.f.	Concrete Curb Repair, Type P-4	\$16.00	\$56,000.00
21	609	150 l.f.	Concrete Curb , Type S-1	\$15.00	\$2,250.00
22	609	80 l.f.	Concrete Curb , Type L-1	\$8.00	\$640.00
23	627	150 s.f.	Concrete Driveway	\$5.00	\$750.00
24	660	3,300 l.f.	Sod Restoration	\$2.00	\$6,600.00
25	619	Lump Sum	Field Office	,	\$1,500.00

T. E. Young, P. E. City Engineer City of Cincinnati

Total Cost

\$243,000.00

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT COSTS WILL COME FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS WHICH WILL BE APPROVED AS PART OF THE CITY'S 1991 BUDGET. CAPITAL FUNDS COME FROM CITY INCOME TAX REVENUE AND THE SALE OF BONDS.



SUPPORTING INFORMATION

TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to forsee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: City of CINCINNAL! PROJECT IDENTIFICATION: Westwood Avenue Reconstruction											
									PROPOSEI	FUNI	Hacrison to Q-C
	· ····	cut section from QC to Quebec									
ELIGIBLE	CATE										
POINTS											
10	1)	Type of project									
		10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater 5 Points - All other projects									
	2)	If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)									
		10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991 5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991 0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991									
NOT 560	TION	What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.									
2, C , To UEBEC		15 Points - Poor condition 10 Points - Fair to Poor condition									
		5 Points - Fair condition									

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability? 5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability 4 Points -3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability 1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service? 10 Points - 50% and over 8 Points - 40% to 49% 6 Points - 30% to 39% 4 Points - 20% to 29% 2 Points - 10% to 19% 0 Points - Less than 10% How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area? 10 Points - Significant importance 8 Points -6 Points - Moderate importance 4 Points -2 Points - Minimal importance 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction? 10 Points - Poor 8 Points -6 Points - Fair 4 Points -2 Points - Excellent 3 8) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds. 5 Points - More than 50% 4 Points - 40% to 49.9% 3 Points - 30% to 39.9% 2 Points - 20% to 29.9% 1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban on the usage for expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? Examples include weight limits of structures and moratoriums on building permits in particular area due to local flooding downstream. Point can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban

5 Points - Partial ban

0 Points - No ban

10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over

8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999

6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499

4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999

2 Points - 2,499 and Under

11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact

4 Points -

3 Points - Moderate impact

2 Points -

1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For	1991,	juris	dictions	shall	complete	the	State	applicat:	ion form	n for
Issue	⊇ 2,	Small	Governm	ent, or	Local Tr	anspo	rtation	Improver	ment Pro	gram
(LTI	P) fur	nding.	In a	ddition,	the Dis	strict	2 In	tegratin	g Commi	ittee
reque	ests	the f	ollowing	informa	ation to	dete	rmine	which p	ojects	are
					ecific type		funding	desired	, as thi	ıs is
deci	ded by	the Di	strict I	integrati	ng Committ	cee.				

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in **poor** condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= <u>Miles of road that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of road within jurisdiction

Storm percentage= <u>Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction

Bridge percentage= <u>Number of bridges that are in poor condition</u>

Number of bridges within jurisdiction

Miles Poor 200
Road Percentage = Total Miles = 915 = 21.9%

2. What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	 Poor	X
Fair	Good	

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

pavement shows sign of severe wear - Pavement failures, heaved

joints, spalled and deteriorated curb, inlet failures, and general

deterioration of existing roadway. Age of pavement is 35 years (+-)

43.7	If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the opening of bids occur?
	Please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below.
	a) Has the Consultant been selected? Yes No N/A
	b) Preliminary development or engineering completed? Yes N/A
	c) Detailed construction plans completed? Yes N/A
	d) All right-of-way acquired? Yes No N/A
	e) Utility coordination completed? Yes No N/A
	Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.
	Within 3 months of approval by OPWC, all above work will be completed so that projects can be awarded in 1990.
4.	How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.) Will assist in maintaining current tax base and also provide satisfactory road network for future development.
5.	For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide a MINIMUM OF 10% of the anticipated construction cost. Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, and right-of-way acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Issue 2 or small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Project Financial Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.
	What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)
	Local Capital Improvement Bond Funds.
	To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?
	30%

6.	Has any resulted use for limits,	in a the invo	complet olved in	e ban frastr	or part: ucture?	ial bar (Typ:	n of ical	the use	e or e	expans clude	ion of weight
	of new JUSTIFICA	build:	ing per BE CONS	mits.) IDERED	THE VALID.	BAN	MUST	HAVE	AN		EERING

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES____NO___

Document with specific information explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.

7. What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

ADT = 19,000 USERS = 22,800

For roads and bridges, multiply current <u>documented</u> Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit <u>must be documented</u>. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.

This street is part of the Federal Aid Urban System and is classified as a major arterial providing access from the west side of city to IU75 and downtown.